

money it takes to go to the U.S. Supreme Court to have the Supreme Court agree that ought to be done. So if taking these actions are justified for economic reasons, they certainly are on the basis of human rights considerations. I'm going to vote to suspend the rules with Senator Merz. But I want you to understand that I'm looking far beyond the farmer, far beyond the farmer who said you ought to send the National Guard into those ghettos and shoot them down, far beyond the farmer who says my child does not have a right to go to school, or that I should not be given the opportunity to get a job in keeping with my talents and abilities. But I think that you ought to do some serious thinking about the ultimate affects of the activities engaged in by the farmers. I think this does relate to whether they ought to suspend the rules and take the action right this minute, or think. I'm going to vote to do it right now. I like to put peoples feet to the fire as quickly as possible. If I were an outfit, trying to start corporation farming in this or any other state, the greatest thing in the world for me to do is to put the farmer, who owns his land, in a position where he can no longer continue to own it. So if I'm a banker with interest in his land all ready, or I'm a corporation outside the state, hungrily eyeing his land, then I tell him don't grow anything, don't produce a cash crop, and you owe the banker a lot of money this year. Well if you can't pay him this year what you owed him, and you tried to produce something last year, next year, when you produce nothing this year, you're not going to be able to give him a nickle and the land is no longer yours. So go ahead and strike. Don't plant anything. Don't make a quarter. Then when you're thrown off your land because the bankers are not your friends I ought to tell you ask Senator Murphy. If you don't pay off the mortgage then they're going to take the land, or whatever you put up as collateral, or whoever you borrow from. Then all of the farmers can come to the cities and you can join the unemployed there. You can get the wonderful benefits of welfare that you condemn and criticize so much in this Legislature. When you cannot buy clothing for your children, you can take that small welfare pittance and make it stretch as far as now you say people in the city can make it go. A lot of you are against the minimum wage for city workers who have nothing to sell but their labor. But you want a guaranteed minimum wage for yourself when you're selling crops. We're dealing with different commodities and items, but the principles are the same. I'm glad the shoe is on the other foot. I'm glad that it's pinching. I'm glad that you finally realized that when stuck you will bleed. When tickled you will laugh. When you see your child hungry there is a twinge in your heart, and you cry out to the government. Yes, the ones who condemn people for being do-nothings, looking for handouts and sponging. Now you want the government to, tell you that in spite of how improvident you may be, guarantee you a certain income, guarantee you a certain, what you call, reasonable profit. The worm has turned and I'm very happy. But the reason I'm joining you and voting for you is to show you that I will not do you, while you are down, the way you did me and continue to do me, while I still am down. When we all realize, as a matter of fact from our deprived condition, that we're in it together then perhaps we can work together and bring about some changes.